

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

MAY 2 3 2014

R. A. Roberts, Treasurer East Valley Taxpayers Coalition 209 S. San Mateo Street Redlands, CA 92373

RE: MUR 6614

Imus for Congress

and Chad Hanely as treasurer

Gregg Imus

Dear Mr. Roberts:

On May 15, 2014, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in the complaint you filed on July 24, 2012, and found that on the basis of the information provided in the complaint, and information provided by the respondents, there is no reason to believe Gregg Imus violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") or Commission regulations with respect to the allegations in this matter. Also on this date, the Commission decided to dismiss this matter as to Imus for Congress and Chad Hanely in his official capacity as treasurer. Accordingly, the Commission closed the file in this matter on May 15, 2014.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's findings, is enclosed.

Robert A. Roberts MUR 6614 Page 2

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

General Counsel

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan
Assistant General Counsel Complaints Examination and Legal Administration

Enclosure

Factual and Legal Analysis

1	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS			
4 5 6 7 8	RESPONDENTS:	Imus for Congress Chad Hanely as treasurer Gregg Imus		MUR 6614
9	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>			
10	This matter was generated by a Complaint filed by Robert A. Roberts ("Roberts"), on			
11	behalf of the East Valley Taxpayers Coalition, alleging violations of the Federal Election			
12	Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), by Imus for Congress and Chad Hanely in his			
13	official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee") and Gregg Imus ("Imus") (collectively			
14	"Respondents"). After reviewing the record, the Commission dismisses this matter as to the			
15	Committee, reminds the Committee of the requirements of 2 U.S.C. § 434(a) pertaining to the			
16	filing of financial disclosure reports, and finds no reason to believe that Imus violated the Act o			
17	Commission regulations.			
18	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS			
19	A. Factu	al Background		
20 21	Roberts, on behalf of the East Valley Taxpayers Coalition, alleges that Imus ¹ and his			
22	Committee failed to file a 2012 12 Day Pre-Primary Report ("Pre-Primary Report")			
23	covering the time period from April 1, 2012 through May 16, 2012. Compl. at 1.2 Roberts			
24	alleges that the Committee's failure to file its Pre-Primary Report concealed from the public bot			

Imus, a candidate in California's June 5, 2012 primary election, sought to represent California's 8th congressional district. The Committee is his principal campaign committee.

Although the Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") notified the Committee on May 25, 2012 that its Pre-Primary Report had not been filed, Roberts states that the Committee had not filed the Report "to date." *Id.* at 1; see also Compl., Attach. A (copy of RAD's May 25, 2012 Notice to the Committee).

MUR 6614 (Imus for Congress, et al.) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 2

1 the "true source" of the contributions and "expenditures since April 1, 2012" by the Committee.

2 Id. at 2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

John Fugatt ("Fugatt") filed a response on behalf of the Committee in which he acknowledges that the Committee had failed to timely file its Pre-Primary Report. Committee Response at 1. According to Fugatt, this resulted from the fact that "the necessary support for expenditures made by the campaign were still being gathered" and sent to him, after which 7 Fugatt had to obtain additional information. Id. Fugatt asserts that the Committee "filed [the Report] as soon as the information was complete." Id., see also Committee 12-Day Pre-Primary Report filed on July 16, 2012. Fugatt expresses regret for the delayed filing, noting that the 10 campaign was staffed with "a small group of grassroots activists and unpaid volunteers." Id. He also states that the Committee is "up to date" in terms of filing its reports and pledges to file all 12 subsequent reports timely. Id. Separately, Imus states that Fugatt "accurately reflects the reason 13 for the late filing." See Imus Response at 1.

After the Complaint and Responses in this matter were received, the Commission processed two additional matters involving the Committee through the Administrative Fine ("AF") program. In AF Case 2633, the Commission found reason to believe that the Committee failed to file its 2012 311-Day Rost-General Report in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(a). The Commission approved a civil penalty of \$990, which the Committee paid on March 22, 2013. See AF 2633 at 16 (notification letter from Chair Weintraub to the Committee dated April 16, 2013). Subsequently, in AF Case 2712, the Commission found reason to believe that the

It appears that Fugatt, rather than official treasurer Chad Hanely, may be acting as the Committee's treasurer. See Miscellaneous Report filed by Imus on May 2, 2013 stating that Fugatt had become the Committee's treasurer "during the [2012] campaign." Despite several notifications from RAD, the Committee failed to properly amend its Statement of Organization to reflect that Fugatt was its treasurer. Therefore, Hanely remains the Committee's treasurer of record.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MUR 6614 (Imus for Congress, et al.) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 3

- 1 Committee had failed to file its 2012 Year-End Report in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(a).
- 2 Although the Commission approved a civil penalty of \$990, it ultimately determined to assess no
- 3 civil penalty and close the file. The Commission explained that it had revised the amount of the
- 4 civil penalty to reflect the "actual level of activity disclosed in the miscellaneous document" 4
- 5 filed by Imus on May 2, 2013.5 See AF 2712 at 38 (notification letter from Chair Weintraub to
- 6 the Committee dated July 1, 2013).

B. Legal Analysis

Pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 434(a), treasurers of political committees are required to file financial disclosure reports, and to do so timely. In particular, pre-primary election reports, such as the Report at issue in this matter, are required to be complete as of the 20th day before the primary election, and must be filed no later than 12 days before the primary election. See 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i). Here, the Committee acknowledges that its Pre-Primary Report, which was due on May 24, 2012, was not filed until July 16, 2012. In addition, the record reflects that the Committee has failed to file financial disclosure reports, including its 2012 30 Day Post-General and Year-End Reports, and subsequent reports for 2013, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(a), see n. 5. However, the Committee paid a civil penalty in connection with its failure to file its Post-General Report, and it appears that the Committee may now be inactive.

This document is the same Miscellaneous Report alluded to in footnote 3.

In the Miscellaneous Report, which Imus described as a "statement of non activity and no further committee," he stated that "after the last fine" (presumably the \$990 civil penalty paid in AF 2633), Fugatt assured him that "the final filings would be done" timely. Imus apologized because "this has not happened" as of yet and promised to follow up with Fugatt. Nonetheless, a review of the Committee's filings, as posted on the Commission's website, indicates that the last financial disclosure report filed by the Committee was its 2012 Pre-General Report, filed on October 26, 2012, and two 48-Hour Notices, filed on October 26, 2012 and November 3, 2012, respectively. The Commission has sent multiple RFAI notices to the Committee for its failure to file reports in 2013. See RFAIs to Committee negarding its failure to file the following: 2013 April Quarterly Report, dated May 3, 2013; 2013 July Quarterly Report, dated August 2, 2013; 2013 October Quarterly, dated on November 1, 2013; and 2013 Year-End Report, dated on February 19, 2014.

7

MUR 6614 (Imus for Congress, et al.) Factual & Legal Analysis Page 4

In light of the Committee's apparent lack of activity, and in furtherance of Commission
priorities, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses this matter as to
Imus for Congress and Chad Hanely in his official capacity as treasurer. See Heckler v. Chaney,
470 U.S. 821 (1985). The Commission also reminds the Committee to take steps to comply with
the requirements of 2 U.S.C. § 434(a), which pertains to the filing of financial disclosure reports.
Finally, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Gregg Imus violated the Federal Election

Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, or underlying Commission regulations.